

Appln. No. 10/014,308
Amendment dated May 14, 2007
Reply to Office Action mailed January 12, 2007

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 16 2007

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 through 13, 15 through 18, 20 through 27, 31, 34 and 35 remain in this application. Claims 14, 19, 28 through 30, 32 and 33 have been cancelled. No claims have been withdrawn or added.

Paragraphs 1 through 29 of the Office Action

Claims 1 through 6, 8 through 13, 15 through 18, 20, 21, 23 through 27, 34 and 35 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gettemy and Cheng.

Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gettemy, Cheng and Kwon.

Claim 22 been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gettemy, Cheng and Petty.

Claims 31 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gettemy, Cheng and Kim.

Claims 1, 13, 20, and 26 have been amended in a manner submitted to further define the invention over the Gettemy patent as well as the allegedly obvious combinations of the Gettemy patent with Cheng, Kwon, Petty and Kim. The amended language of the claims are submitted to more clearly define the steps taken by the inventive system.

Withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 1 through 13, 15 through 18, 20 through 27 and 31 is therefore respectfully requested.

Appln. No. 10/014,308
Amendment dated May 14, 2007
Reply to Office Action mailed January 12, 2007


RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 16 2007

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

GATEWAY, INC.

By 
Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)
Customer No. 24,333
610 Gateway Dr.
MD Y-04
North Sioux City, SD 57049
Voice: 605.232.2000 ext.26809 (Lori Boulware, Patent Assistant)
Fax 605.232.2612

Date: May 14, 2007